

**Sertifikaat**

PATENTKANTOOR

REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

DEPARTEMENT VAN HANDEL  
EN NYWERHEID



Rec'd PCTARI, 04 FEB 2005

06.10.03


**Certificate**

REPUBLIC VAN SUID-AFRIKA PATENT OFFICE

**IB03/03147**

DEPARTMENT OF TRADE AND  
INDUSTRY

Hiermee word gesertifiseer dat  
This is to certify that



the documents attached hereto, are true copies of Application  
Form, Provisional Specification and Drawings of Patent Application  
No. 2002/6213 filed in the names of SILCOCK, DANIEL PAUL;  
GEOGHEGAN, KIERON MARK on the 05 August 2002 and  
entitled "A REFUSE COMPACTOR".

**PRIORITY  
DOCUMENT**  
SUBMITTED OR TRANSMITTED IN  
COMPLIANCE WITH RULE 17.1(a) OR (b)

Geteken te  
Signed at

PRETORIA

in die Republiek van Suid-Afrika, hierdie  
in the Republic of South Africa, this

6th

dag van  
day of

August 2003

Registrateur van Patente



REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

PATENTS ACT, 1978

## REGISTER OF PATENTS

OFFICIAL APPLICATION NO.		LODGING DATE : PROVISIONAL		ACCEPTANCE DATE	
21	012002/6213	22	5 August 2002	43	
INTERNATIONAL CLASSIFICATION		LODGING DATE : COMPLETE		GRANTED DATE	
61		23			
FULL NAME(S) OF APPLICANT(S) / PATENTEE(S)					
71	SILCOCK, DANIEL PAUL GEOGHEGAN, KIERON MARK				
APPLICANTS SUBSTITUTED :				DATE REGISTERED	
71					
ASSIGNEE(S)				DATE REGISTERED	
71					
FULL NAME(S) OF INVENTOR(S)					
72	SILCOCK, Daniel Paul ; GEOGHEGAN, Kieron Mark				
PRIORITY CLAIMED		COUNTRY		NUMBER	
N.B. Use International abbreviation for country. (See Schedule 4)		33		31	
				32	
TITLE OF INVENTION					
64	A REFUSE COMPACTOR				
ADDRESS OF APPLICANT(S) / PATENTEE(S)					
308 Bellerive 6 David Place Dowerglen 1609 South Africa					
ADDRESS FOR SERVICE				REF	
74	John & Kernick, PO Box 3511, Halfway House, Republic of South Africa			P15222ZA00	
PATENT OF ADDITION NO.		DATE OF ANY CHANGE			
61					
FRESH APPLICATION BASED ON		DATE OF ANY CHANGE			

REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA  
PATENTS ACT, 1978

APPLICATION FOR A PATENT AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF RECEIPT  
(Section 30 (1) - Regulation 22)

The grant of a patent is hereby requested by the undermentioned applicant on the basis of the present application filed in duplicate.

-5 8 02

R 060.00

INVOISSTE

REPUBLIEK VAN SUID AFRIKA

HASR 370

OFFICIAL APPLICATION NO

AGENT'S REFERENCE

21 01 2002/6213

P15222ZA00

FULL NAME(S) OF APPLICANT(S)

71 SILCOCK, DANIEL PAUL  
GEOGHEGAN, KIERON MARK

ADDRESS(ES) OF APPLICANT(S)

308 Bellerive  
6 David Place  
Dowerglen  
1609  
South Africa

TITLE OF INVENTION

54 A REFUSE COMPACTOR

THE APPLICANT CLAIMS PRIORITY AS SET OUT ON THE ACCOMPANYING FORM P2  
The earliest priority claimed is

THIS APPLICATION IS FOR A PATENT OF  
ADDITION TO PATENT APPLICATION NO.

21 01

THIS APPLICATION IS FRESH APPLICATION IN TERMS  
OF SECTION 37 AND BASED ON APPLICATION NO.

21 01

THIS APPLICATION IS ACCOMPANIED BY :

- |   |     |  |
|---|-----|--|
| x | 1a  | A single copy of a provisional specification of 9 pages.                 |
|   | 1b  | Two copies of a complete specification of pages.                         |
|   | 2a  | Informal drawings of sheets.   |
| x | 2b  | Formal drawings of 3 sheets.   |
|   | 3   | Publication particulars and abstract (form P8 in duplicate).             |
|   | 4   | A copy of figure of the drawings for the abstract.                       |
|   | 5   | Assignment of invention (from the inventors) or other evidence of title. |
|   | 6   | Certified priority document(s).  |
|   | 7   | Translation of priority document(s).                                     |
|   | 8   | Assignment of priority rights.   |
|   | 9   | A copy of form P2 and a specification of S.A. Patent Application.        |
| x | 10  | A declaration and power of attorney on form P3.                          |
|   | 11  | Request for ante-dating on form P4.                                      |
|   | 12  | Request for classification on form P9.                                   |
|   | 13a | Request for delay of acceptance on form P4.                              |
|   | 13b |  |

21 01

DATED 5 August 2002

ADDRESS FOR SERVICE

74 John & Kernick  
P.O.Box 3511  
Halfway House  
Republic of South Africa

Patent Attorney for Applicant(s)

RECEIVED

OFFICIAL DATE STAMP

REGISTRAR OF PATENTS

## REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

## PATENTS ACT, 1978

PROVISIONAL SPECIFICATION  
( Section 30 (1) - Regulation 27 )

OFFICIAL APPLICATION NO.		LODGING DATE		AGENT'S REFERENCE
21	2002/6213	22	5 August 2002	P15222ZA00
FULL NAME(S) OF APPLICANT(S)				
71	SILCOCK, DANIEL PAUL GEOGHEGAN, KIERON MARK			
FULL NAME(S) OF INVENTOR(S)				
72	SILCOCK, Daniel Paul ; GEOGHEGAN, Kieron Mark			
TITLE OF INVENTION				
54	A REFUSE COMPACTOR			

## A REFUSE COMPACTOR

### FIELD OF THE INVENTION

This invention relates to apparatus for use in compacting refuse.

### BACKGROUND TO THE INVENTION

It is an important aspect of waste disposal that refuse in tips be properly compacted. An effective machine exists for this purpose which is essentially a heavy vehicle having four wheels each in the form of a steel roller with a plurality of cleats thereon. These are also known as "Caron wheels". The cleats not only serve to provide traction but also to shear the refuse into smaller pieces. The major drawback of such machines is their cost which makes them difficult to afford, especially for small operators.

Rollers which are towed behind vehicles are thus frequently used. Front-end loaders, sometimes referred to as wheel loaders, are often used to tow the rollers as these vehicles are widely used on tips to move refuse. However, such rollers are not very effective simply because they do not have sufficient weight. They also tend to be cumbersome as they are difficult to manoeuvre and hence cannot be used in many situations.

**OBJECT OF THE INVENTION**

It is an object of this invention to provide a refuse compactor which will at least partially alleviate some of the abovementioned problems.

**SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION**

In accordance with this invention there is provided a refuse compactor comprising at least one roller secured to a chassis having a coupling for releasably securing the chassis to a boom of a front-end loader.

Further features on the invention provide for the compactor to include a pair of independently rotatable rollers; for the rollers to be secured to a central axle; for each roller to have a plurality of cleats thereon; for the cleats to be formed in rows about the circumference of each roller; for each cleat to be formed from two halves offset from each other along a circumference of the roller; for the edges of each half of each cleat to taper to a flat end; for each half of each cleat to extend from a circumferential flange on the roller; and for the flanges to be spaced apart.

Yet further features of the invention provide for the chassis to be at least partly rotatable with respect to the coupling; and for the coupling to be rotatably secured to a shaft extending across the chassis intermediate the rollers.

Still further features of the invention provide for a stabiliser mechanism to restrict rotation of the chassis with respect to the coupling; for the stabiliser mechanism to provide a downward bias on the chassis on either side of the shaft; for the stabiliser mechanism to provide stops to limit the extent of rotation of the chassis with respect to the coupling; and for the stabiliser mechanism to include at least one lock to secure the chassis and coupling against relative rotation.

Further features of the invention provide for at least one scraper to extend from the chassis between each row of cleats on each roller; preferably for a pair of scrapers to extend from opposite sides of the chassis between each row of cleats; for the scrapers to be made of a spring steel; and for there to be means to restrict the height to which the device can be lifted by a boom.

### **BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS**

One embodiment of the invention will be described, example only, with reference to the drawings in which:

- Figure 1 is a part sectional side elevation of a refuse compactor;
- Figure 2 is a part sectional plan view of the refuse compactor in Figure 1;
- Figure 3 is a front elevation of the stabiliser mechanism on the refuse compactor in Figure 1; and
- Figure 4 is an elevation of the refuse compactor in Figure 1 secured to a front-end loader.

### **DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS**

A refuse compactor (1) is shown in Figures 1 and 2 and includes a rectangular chassis (2) with an axle (4) secured centrally in the length thereof. A pair of rollers (6) are independently rotatably secured to the axle (4). A shaft (8) extends across the width of the chassis (2) intermediate the rollers (6) and provides a support through which the axle (4) is secured.

The longer sides (10, 11) of the chassis (2) are formed from large diameter steel tubing and joined together at their ends by channel sections (12,13). One end (15) of the shaft (8) extends through the side (10) of the chassis (2) and terminates in a flange (16). A collar (17) of similar external and internal diameter to the flange (16) but axially stepped along its length is secured to the flange

(16). A disc (20) is rotatably secured between the collar (17) and flange (16) by a bearing assembly (not shown). A tubular stem (21) extends through the collar (17) and is secured to the disc (20). The free end of the stem (21) is secured to a coupling (25) which is thus rotatable with respect to the chassis (2).

Each roller (6) has four equally spaced, circumferential rows of cleats (35) on its outer surface (36). As illustrated more clearly in the inset, each cleat (37) is formed from two halves (38) each of which extends from a circumferential flange (39) on the roller (6). The halves (38) each have the same shape, being elongate plates with the edges (40) tapered inwardly from the flange (39) to a flat end (41), but are offset from each other along the circumference of the roller (6).

Three elongate, spring steel scrapers (43) are secured on each side (10, 11) of the chassis (2) adjacent each roller (6) to extend between the rows of cleats (35).

A support leg (45) is secured to the lower side of the channel section (12) adjacent the side (10) and is movable between a stowed condition (as shown) in which it extends along the side of the channel section (12), and an operative condition in which it extends normally to the channel section (12) and supports the coupling (25) above the ground:

As illustrated more clearly in Figure 4, the coupling (25) is configured to be removably secured to the boom (50) of a front-end loader (51). These booms (50) are usually constructed to provide convenient fitting or removal of buckets, fork equipment, material handling arms, timber grapples, snow blades and the like. In this embodiment, the coupling (25) includes a plate-like base (26) secured centrally on one side to the stem (21) with a pair of side plates (27) extending normally from the opposite side at each end thereof. The upper end of each side plate (27) forms a hook (28) along its free edge while the lower end projects outwardly and has an aperture (29) therethrough for receiving a pin (not



shown). The hook (28) and aperture (29) co-operate with complementary formations on the boom (50) in known fashion.

In use, the refuse compactor (1) is easily be fitted to the boom (50) of a front-end loader (1) enabling it to be easily manoeuvred. It also provides for efficient compaction of refuse when a number of initial runs are made over the refuse with the boom in a "free float" condition. In this condition the compactor (1) is free to follow the contours of the refuse with no pressure being inserted by the front-end loader (51). The rotatability of the chassis (2) with respect to the coupling (25) further enhances the ability of the compactor (1) to follow contours. During the initial runs the refuse is subjected to shearing and settling. Hereafter the boom (50) can be operated to exert downward force on the compactor (1) to the extent that the compactive effort can be increased to the point where it equals or exceeds that of most self-propelled refuse compactors currently available.

The shape of the cleats (37) has been found to be particularly effective as the offset configuration of the two halves (38) of each cleat (37) provides a large periphery to each cleat (37) and more edges along which shearing can occur. Also, offsetting the two halves (38) provides each cleat (37) with a long, narrow tread providing higher pressure for a longer period of time through the cleat (37). The cleats (37) have been found to be more effective than conventional designs which tend to be limited by the necessity for the cleat to provide traction to a wheel.

The scrapers (43) have also been found to provide superior performance over conventional scrapers which tend to be rigid. The nature of the scrapers (23) of the current invention allows them to flex rather than break where particularly hard material is encountered between the flanges (39).

As the flanges (39) of each row of cleats (35) are spaced apart dirt and other matter tends to collect between them. This proves favourable as the dirt

enhances the structural integrity of the flanges (39) while also providing good resistance against wear.

A further advantage of the compactor (1) is that it may be lifted when being transported over roads or other areas not requiring compaction. This virtually eliminates the damage caused to roads by conventional equipment. Lifting the compactor (1) does however have the disadvantage that the compactor (1) tends to rotate with respect to the coupling (25) where one of the rollers (6) has more refuse impacted thereon than the other. This can cause instability during transport, especially when travelling on slopes. Referring also to Figure 3, a pair of locking pins (60) are provided on either side of the base (26). During use of the compactor (1) the pins (60) are held retracted against a bias in a housing. When released from the retracted position, the pins (60) engage in apertures in the side (10) of the chassis (2) and prevent rotation of the chassis (2) with respect to the coupling (25).

It is, however, not always convenient to lock the chassis (2) and coupling (25) together using the pins (60), for example where the compactor (1) is frequently lifted and moved short distances. A stabiliser mechanism (65) is thus provided between the coupling (25) and chassis (2) and includes a pair of arms (66) pivotally secured at one end (67) to the base (26) above the stem (21) (as shown in Figures 2 and 3). A low friction pad (68) is secured to the lower most side of each arm (66) adjacent the free end (69) thereof. Each pad (68) rides on a part circular stop (70) extending from the side (10) of the chassis (2) on opposite sides of the shaft (8). A coil spring (72) is secured between each arm (66) and the base (26) to provide a bias on each arm (66) towards its respective stop (70). Furthermore, each stop (70) extends a sufficient distance from the side (10) to engage the base (26) when it is rotated sufficiently with respect to the chassis (2). Each stop (70) is provided with a flat surface (73) configured to abut against a rubber pad (75) on each side of the base (26) with the base (26) rotated into

contact with the stop (70). The stops (70) thus limit the degree rotation of the coupling (25) with respect to the chassis (2).

In use, the arms (66) act on the chassis (2) to provide a bias opposite to the direction of rotation about the stem (21). It will be appreciated that rotation of the chassis (2) in the direction of one of the arms (66) will cause the other arm (66) to be raised and to extend the spring (72) to which it is secured whilst the other spring (72). The spring (72) being expanded will exert a greater force on the arm (66) to which it is secured than the other spring (72) and hence bias the chassis (2) in the direction opposite to its rotation. A stabilising effect against rotation of the chassis (2) with respect to the coupling (25) is thus produced with the stops (70) limiting the degree of overall rotation.

The stabiliser mechanism (65) has been found to be highly effective with the springs (72) providing up to about 400 kilograms of torsional load each. It is envisaged, however, that dampers may be added to the springs.

To improve the stability of the front-end loader and compactor during transport, the hydraulic system that actuates the front-end loader bucket "roll back" is used to roll back the compactor. This greatly reduces the compactor mass overhang, that is the distance between the centre of the compactor mass and the front-end loader front axle.

From the drawings it will be noted that all the structural and wear parts of the compactor are of abnormal proportion when compared to conventional towed compactors. This abnormal design is required to withstand the extremely high shock loads and forces that are experienced when the compactor is operated on refuse sites with maximum front-end loader mass transfer to the compactor as it is possible that the entire mass on the front axle of the front-end loader can be transferred to the compactor.

A highly effective yet relatively cheaply manufactured refuse compactor is thus provided. It is envisaged that the compactor will satisfy a need in countries varying from the First to the Third World with demand from First World countries in fact being far greater than that from Third World countries. It will be appreciated, however, that many other embodiments of a refuse compactor exist which fall within the scope of the invention particularly as regards the configuration thereof. For example, means can be provided to restrict the height to which the compactor can be lifted above the ground by a front-end loader. The coupling of the compactor can clearly be configured to provide a complementary fit with any suitable front-end loader. Also, any number of rollers could be used with any suitable cleat configuration.

DATED THIS 5th DAY OF AUGUST 2002

  
JOHN & KERNICK

FOR THE APPLICANT



SILCOCK, DANIEL PAUL  
GEOGHEGAN, KIERON MARK

PROVISIONAL

2002/6213

5 Sheets

Sheet No. 1




JOHN & KERNICK  
FOR THE APPLICANT

SILCOCK, DANIEL PAUL  
GEOGHEGAN, KIERON MARK

3 Sheets

Sheet No. 2




JOHN & KERNICK  
FOR THE APPLICANT

SILCOCK, DANIEL PAUL  
GEOGHEGAN, KIERON MARK

3 Sheets  
Sheet No. 3

FIG 4



JOHN & KERNICK  
FOR THE APPLICANT